



Heritage, Innovation, and Resilience in the Champagne Cultural Landscape

Song Zhanjie

Graduate School of Digital Image and Contents, Dongguk University, Jung-gu, Seoul, 04620,
South Korea

E-mail: songzhanjie@dgu.ac.kr

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords

The Champagne Hillsides, Houses,
and Cellars of France;
World Heritage;
Cultural Landscape;
Innovation;
Resilience

ABSTRACT

The Champagne Hillsides, Houses, and Cellars of France constitute an evolving cultural landscape that was inscribed as a UNESCO World Heritage Site in 2015 for its Outstanding Universal Value under cultural criteria (iii), (iv), and (vi). This article examines the heritage significance of the Champagne region's vineyards, production houses, and underground cellars, highlighting how centuries of wine-making tradition and innovation have shaped a unique agro-industrial landscape. Key themes include the historical development of Champagne wine production, the authenticity and integrity of its cultural features, and the innovative practices that have sustained its global prestige. The role of stakeholders from local communities and industry groups in supporting heritage authorities is analyzed in maintaining the site's values and ensuring adaptive management. Contemporary challenges such as climate change and urbanization are discussed as threats to the region's integrity, alongside the resilience strategies being implemented to mitigate these threats. Comparative perspectives with the Lavaux Vineyard Terraces in Switzerland and the Wieliczka Salt Mine in Poland provide context on how similar World Heritage sites balance heritage preservation with modern pressures. The findings underscore the importance of integrated management, community engagement, and adaptive innovation in safeguarding the Champagne cultural landscape for future generations.

1. Introduction

The Champagne Hillsides, Houses, and Cellars in northeastern France comprise a serial cultural landscape recognized for its pivotal role in the development of Champagne wine and its enduring cultural symbolism. Added to UNESCO's World Heritage List in 2015, the site includes historic vineyard slopes (notably around Hautvillers, Aÿ, and Mareuil-sur-Aÿ), the grand Champagne production houses and cellars of Épernay and Reims, and an extensive network of subterranean chalk cellars (locally called *crayères*) used for aging sparkling wine. These

components together illustrate the full spectrum of Champagne wine production – from grape cultivation to vinification, distribution, and global celebration—in a specific geographic and social setting. The UNESCO inscription recognizes the Champagne landscape under three cultural criteria: (iii) for bearing exceptional testimony to a long-standing cultural tradition of wine-making know-how, (iv) for exemplifying an innovative agro-industrial production system and landscape, and (vi) for its direct association with living traditions and symbolic ideals (Champagne as a worldwide emblem of celebration). This combination of attributes makes the site a noteworthy example of a living heritage that intertwines tangible and intangible values.

Champagne's significance lies not only in its famous sparkling wine, but also in the unique territorial organization and social history behind that wine's production. The region's vintners and Champagne houses perfected techniques (such as the *méthode champenoise* of secondary fermentation in bottle) over several centuries, transforming a local artisanal practice into a globally renowned industry. The resulting landscape encompasses orderly vineyards on chalk hillsides, villages and vineyard shelters, ornate above-ground headquarters of Champagne brands, and vast underground cellars carved into chalk rock to store and age millions of bottles. Taken together, these elements reflect an exceptional interplay between human innovation and the environment, and between local tradition and international influence.

This article provides a comprehensive overview of the Champagne cultural landscape as a World Heritage site with a focus on heritage, innovation, and resilience. It first reviews relevant literature and frameworks on cultural landscapes and heritage management. It then analyzes the Champagne site's historical development, authenticity, and innovations in the context of UNESCO criteria. The engagement of stakeholders in preserving the site's values is examined, as are the contemporary threats of climate change and urban development and how they are being addressed. Finally, comparative insights from the Lavaux Vineyard Terraces (Switzerland) and the Wieliczka Salt Mines (Poland) are discussed to contextualize Champagne's challenges and approaches alongside other sites of agricultural and industrial heritage. Through this analysis, the article aims to elucidate how the Champagne cultural landscape has sustained its Outstanding Universal Value (OUV) and what measures are critical for its continued preservation amid changing conditions.

2.Literature Review

2.1 Cultural Landscapes and World Heritage

The concept of cultural landscapes in UNESCO parlance refers to geographic areas that represent the “combined works of nature and of man,” illustrating the evolution of human society in interaction with its environment (UNESCO, 2019). Champagne is designated as a continuing cultural landscape, meaning it is still actively used for its traditional purpose and is evolving while retaining its historic character. As Taylor (2009) notes, such landscapes require a balance between preserving historical authenticity and accommodating ongoing change. The UNESCO World Heritage criteria (iii), (iv), and (vi) provide a framework for understanding Champagne's value: criterion (iii) emphasizes the transmission of cultural traditions and know-how, criterion (iv) focuses on exemplarity of a type of landscape or ensemble in human history, and criterion (vi) highlights associations with ideas or traditions of outstanding significance (UNESCO World Heritage Centre, 2019). In the case of Champagne, the State Party's nomination and the advisory

evaluation by ICOMOS (2015) elaborated on these criteria. They highlighted how the Champagne region's wine-making savoir-faire has been perfected and passed down through generations, how an integrated system of vineyards, production sites, and trading houses illustrates a significant stage in agro-industrial development, and how the very name "Champagne" embodies a universal symbol of festivity and the French art of living.

2.2 Historical Development and Authenticity

Scholarly works on the history of Champagne (e.g., Guy, 2003; Kladstrup & Kladstrup, 2005) document the evolution of the region from monastic viticulture in the medieval period to the rise of famed Champagne houses in the 18th and 19th centuries. The introduction of sparkling wine techniques, often mythologized through figures like Dom Pérignon, actually resulted from a combination of local experimentation and international influences—for instance, English advances in glass bottle strength and a taste for effervescent wines helped catalyze Champagne's development. Industrialization in the 19th century brought railway connectivity and mechanization that expanded Champagne's reach while solidifying Reims and Épernay as hubs of production and export. Despite wars and phylloxera blight, the region's landscape framework (small vineyard parcels, traditional pruning and harvesting methods, historic presses and cellars) has persisted, demonstrating strong authenticity. ICOMOS's evaluation confirmed that the Champagne sites substantially retain their original form and function—vineyards remain in longstanding parcels (only minimally reorganized after the phylloxera replanting), Champagne houses often operate in historical buildings, and many chalk cellars from past centuries are still in use for aging wine. Even after World War I damage, key structures like those along Épernay's Avenue de Champagne were restored respecting their historic designs. This continuity underscores what Jokilehto (1999) describes as the "spirit of place" being preserved in living industrial landscapes.

2.3 Innovation and Social Organization

The interplay of heritage and innovation is a recurrent theme in studies of wine regions (Myles et al., 2011; Unwin, 1996). In Champagne, innovation has been integral to its heritage from the beginning. The region not only pioneered the production of sparkling wine at scale, but also developed early examples of inter-professional collaboration and branding in the wine sector. The formation of the Champagne wine syndicates and eventually the Comité Champagne exemplify how stakeholders organized to protect quality and name (the appellation system) and to jointly market Champagne worldwide. These social innovations are highlighted in the World Heritage listing as part of criterion (iii) ("exemplary inter-professional organisation"). Contemporary literature on Champagne's production notes ongoing innovations to maintain quality amid changing conditions—from introducing new grape varieties and viticultural techniques to adopting sustainable farming practices in response to environmental concerns (Jones & Alves, 2012). The concept of resilience in cultural landscapes (as per Holling, 1973, applied to socio-ecological systems) is pertinent here, describing Champagne's ability to absorb and adapt to disturbances (phylloxera, climate shifts, market changes) while maintaining its core identity as the home of Champagne wine.

2.4 Stakeholder Engagement and Management

Effective management of World Heritage cultural landscapes typically involves multi-level governance and community involvement (Mitchell et al., 2009). In Champagne, the inscription process itself was driven by a regional coalition of stakeholders. The management structure in place, the Champagne Hillsides, Houses and Cellars World Heritage Initiative (often referred to as Mission Coteaux, Maisons et Caves de Champagne), is a public-private partnership that includes equal representation of local authorities and Champagne industry representatives. This reflects best practices identified in heritage management literature, where local stakeholder buy-in and shared responsibility are crucial for sustaining OUV (UNESCO, 2012). The Champagne management plan, developed collaboratively in the late 2000s, outlines strategies for protection, sustainability, and promotion of the site. It is seen as a tool for regional development as much as conservation (Champagne Patrimoine Mondial, 2015). Regular monitoring, reporting to UNESCO, and public outreach (such as an annual “Celebration of Reconciliation” event) are components of the plan aimed at keeping stakeholders engaged and informed. The literature on community heritage initiatives (e.g., Deacon, 2004) would view Champagne’s approach as an example of leveraging local pride and global recognition to reinforce each other.

2.5 Comparative Context

The inclusion of a comparative analysis is a requirement in World Heritage nominations to show how a site stands relative to similar properties (Stovel et al., 2005). The Champagne dossier considered several other wine regions and industrial heritage sites (ICOMOS, 2015). Two particularly instructive comparanda are the Lavaux Vineyard Terraces in Switzerland and the Wieliczka Salt Mine in Poland. Lavaux (inscribed 2007) is another wine-producing cultural landscape that demonstrates centuries-old human adaptation to terrain (steep terraces along Lake Geneva) and strong community protection efforts to maintain its vineyard tradition in the face of urban development pressures. Wieliczka (inscribed 1978) represents a long-lived industrial enterprise (rock salt mining since the 13th century) that has transformed into a heritage and tourism site, illustrating the challenges of preserving extensive underground workings and the shift from economic production to conservation and education. By comparing Champagne with these sites, one can glean insights into common issues such as authenticity of production processes, tourism management, and the role of intangible heritage values in sites known for a product (wine or salt) rather than a single monument.

In summary, the existing literature and documentation provide a foundation for analyzing Champagne’s heritage significance and the dynamics that affect its preservation. Building on these sources, the following sections delve into the main analysis of how heritage, innovation, and resilience are manifested in the Champagne cultural landscape.

3. Main Analysis

3.1 Historical Development and UNESCO Significance

The Champagne cultural landscape embodies a rich historical narrative of wine production and regional development. Viticulture in Champagne dates back to at least the Roman era, but it was in the 17th to 19th centuries that the region gained its unique identity as the birthplace of

sparkling Champagne wine (Unwin, 1996). The UNESCO criterion (iv) recognition highlights that Champagne is an “exceptional example of a production system” with distinct components – the vine supply zones (hillside vineyards), processing sites (press houses and chalk cellars), and distribution centers (commercial houses)–that together illustrate a significant stage in human history: the rise of modern agro-industrial enterprise in wine. This interconnected system was driven by early technological and organizational innovations. By the late 18th century, Champagne producers had perfected the *méthode champenoise*, a complex process of secondary fermentation in bottles, which required not only skilled vintners but also suitable infrastructure (thick glass bottles, wooden pupitre racks for riddling, and cool subterranean cellars for aging). The historic chalk quarries under Reims and Épernay proved ideal for conversion into such cellars, demonstrating an ingenious reuse of geological features for industrial purposes.

Throughout the 19th century, Champagne’s fame grew internationally, spurred by improvements in transportation (canals and railways linking the region to Paris and European markets) and by savvy entrepreneurship. Notably, foreign influences played a role: British demand influenced taste and provided technical contributions (strong coal-fired glass for bottles, early industrial machinery), and entrepreneurs from places like Germany and Alsace brought capital and commercial networks into the Champagne trade. By around 1900, Champagne had evolved from a regional craft to a large-scale industry with global reach, without losing the local roots of its production. The towns of Reims and Épernay flourished with the construction of grand neo-classical headquarters and mansions by Champagne merchant families (e.g., Moët & Chandon, Mumm, Roederer), many of which still stand along boulevards like the famed Avenue de Champagne. These buildings, often set in landscaped gardens, symbolized the alliance of agriculture, industry, and culture that Champagne represents – they were functional facilities for production and storage, but also representational architecture meant to impress visitors and clients, embodying the prestige of the Champagne name.

UNESCO criterion (iii) underscores how the Champagne landscape bears unique testimony to the development of specialized knowledge and cultural tradition of Champagne wine. This includes viticultural practices (training vines, blending grapes from different villages, controlling secondary fermentation) and the social system around it (the early establishment of quality control, appellation laws, and producer cooperatives). Over generations, Champenois winegrowers and houses formed an interdependent community that mastered their environment’s challenges—a marginal cool climate for winegrowing—to produce a renowned product. The World Heritage inscription specifically noted that technological innovation has always been at the heart of Champagne-making, coupled with strong inter-professional collaboration. For example, when faced with the devastation of phylloxera in the late 19th century, Champagne growers innovated by grafting onto resistant rootstock and replanting systematically, thus preserving the vineyards (albeit with new vine biology) without fundamentally altering the historic parcel layout. The landscape’s continuity through such trials speaks to a resilience built on shared knowledge and adaptability.

Finally, criterion (vi) in Champagne’s case relates to the intangible dimension of its heritage: Champagne wine’s cultural symbolism. By the 19th century, Champagne was firmly associated with celebration, luxury, and what the French call *l’art de vivre* (the art of living well). Royal courts and aristocracy popularized it as the drink of choice for toasts and festivities, a tradition that has diffused worldwide. The Champagne landscape tangibly anchors that symbolic

narrative—the presence of historic champagne houses and vineyards provides a real place that embodies the legendary status of the wine. ICOMOS acknowledged this, noting that places like Saint-Nicaise Hill (with its monumental cellars and early Champagne houses) and the Avenue de Champagne (with its prestigious premises and showrooms) together “convey the world-renowned image of Champagne as a symbol of the art of living and celebration”. Thus, the site’s significance is multilayered: it is at once a center of technical innovation in wine, a well-preserved historical landscape, and a living icon of cultural life.

3.2 Authenticity and Innovation in a Living Landscape

Maintaining authenticity is a core requirement for World Heritage sites, ensuring that the attributes conveying Outstanding Universal Value are truthfully and credibly expressed (UNESCO, 2019). In Champagne, authenticity is seen in the continuity of viticultural land use, the preservation of historical structures, and the ongoing use of traditional techniques. According to the ICOMOS evaluation, the Champagne property meets authenticity conditions for both the whole series and its individual components. Centuries of vine cultivation have left a documented record, and notably, the basic layout of vineyard parcels has remained largely intact since at least the 18th century. Even though phylloxera forced replanting and a shift to aligned rows, the fragmented mosaic of small plots—often enclosed by low stone walls or hedges—persists, which is crucial to the landscape’s historic character. Traditional vineyard practices, such as hand-harvesting and pruning methods (e.g., Chablis pruning, Cordon de Royat), are still widely practiced, further reinforcing authenticity in land use and know-how.

The architectural and urban elements of the Champagne landscape also retain authenticity. Many Champagne Houses operate out of heritage buildings, some dating to the 19th century or earlier, which have been carefully maintained or restored. For instance, along the Avenue de Champagne in Épernay—sometimes called “the world’s most expensive street” due to the value of wine in the cellars beneath—the majority of buildings preserve their historical facades and form. A comparison of current views with historic photographs confirms that the overall setting and townscape are remarkably consistent with their appearance in the past. Where changes have occurred (such as repairs after World War I damage), restorations were done in line with original designs, using traditional materials and architectural language. One exception cited is a later 20th-century construction at the head of the avenue (a Moët & Chandon modern building) which is considered a “dissonant element” in an otherwise period ensemble. Nonetheless, such anomalies are few. Similarly, the underground cellars—some of which date back to medieval quarrying and others to 19th-century tunneling—are intact and still fulfill their original purpose of wine maturation. The cool, humid conditions in these chalk caverns remain ideal for aging Champagne, and visitors touring cellars in Reims or Épernay today can witness stacks of bottles on wooden racks, much as they would have a century ago. The functional authenticity (actual ongoing wine production and storage) in these spaces elevates their significance beyond static museum pieces.

Innovation in Champagne’s context has gone hand in hand with authenticity, rather than undermining it. The region has a history of adopting innovations that then become part of its tradition. For example, the muselet (wire cage for bottle corks) was a 19th-century innovation that solved the problem of corks popping under pressure; it is now an iconic feature of Champagne bottles. In recent years, facing challenges like evolving consumer tastes, competition, and

environmental change, Champagne producers have again turned to innovation—but with an eye to preserving quality and heritage. A clear case is the response to climate change, which has brought generally warmer conditions, earlier harvests, and higher grape sugar levels. Initially, a warmer climate was viewed positively in Champagne (reducing issues of unripe grapes in cool years). However, continued warming is now a concern for maintaining the balanced acidity that is a hallmark of Champagne wine. The local trade association (Comité Champagne) has launched a series of initiatives to adapt: these include rehabilitating forgotten grape varieties and breeding new grape cultivars better suited to heat and drought, adjusting vineyard management by planting cover crops and reducing chemical inputs to improve soil resilience, and experimenting with viticultural techniques like higher canopy or lower vine density to mitigate water stress. In the production process, Champagne houses are also making tweaks such as harvesting earlier in the day to bring in cooler fruit, limiting or avoiding chaptalization (added sugar) in ripe years, and fine-tuning fermentation methods to retain freshness. These changes are innovative, yet they aim to retain the authentic taste and character of Champagne—essentially adaptive measures to preserve the OUV (sparkling wine of particular quality and identity) under new conditions.

Indeed, experts have warned that if global warming continues unabated, the Champagne region's viability for traditional sparkling wine grapes (Chardonnay, Pinot Noir, Meunier) could be compromised. Marsh, Smith, & Terrill (2023) caution that the Champagne Hillsides, Houses and Cellars site “may lose OUV if—when—it becomes too hot to sustain a wine industry or if the industry becomes dependent upon heat tolerant grapes imported from another location”. This scenario underscores a potential conflict between authenticity and adaptation: introducing non-local grape varieties or altering the fundamental wine profile could alleviate climate stress but at the cost of the very attributes that make Champagne unique. The region's strategy so far has been to seek innovative solutions that bolster resilience without eroding authenticity. One example is the exploration of hybrid grape varieties that can handle warmer climates; these are crosses still related to traditional Champagne varietals but offer more tolerance. However, regulatory strictures of the AOC (appellation d'origine contrôlée) currently limit such experimentation, reflecting the tension between innovation and heritage rules. The ongoing discourse in Champagne showcases how a living heritage site can navigate necessary innovations. By incremental adjustments and research—often done collaboratively across the industry—Champagne seeks to remain authentic in character while innovative in practice, epitomizing resilience in a cultural landscape.

3.3 Stakeholder Engagement and Management

One of the reasons behind Champagne's successful World Heritage inscription and its continued conservation is the robust stakeholder engagement structure in place. The Champagne Hillsides, Houses and Cellars World Heritage Initiative (CHHC) serves as the coordinating management body. Established in 2007 during the bid process, this organization operates under France's 1901 law for non-profits and is chaired by a prominent Champagne industry figure (Pierre-Emmanuel Taittinger, as of inscription). Its membership and governance are deliberately balanced between the public and private sectors: an equal number of local council representatives and Champagne industry professionals sit on its board. This parity ensures that decisions consider both heritage conservation needs and the economic realities of an active wine region. The CHHC, supported by regional authorities and corporate sponsors, is responsible for implementing the site's Management Plan and acts as the liaison with UNESCO.

The Management Plan for the Champagne World Heritage site is a comprehensive strategy document that was formulated through a participatory process. It was developed in consultation with local government and the wine industry to safeguard the long-term future of the property. The plan articulates a vision and sets of actions under several themes: protection of landscape and heritage features, socio-economic development (ensuring the wine industry remains vibrant and benefits local communities), environmental sustainability, tourism management, and education/outreach. It includes a management charter for the entire Champagne appellation area (recognizing that the core World Heritage sites are supported by a broader “commitment zone” of 319 wine-growing villages in the region). Importantly, the plan establishes various committees and working groups – such as a regional stakeholder conference, an expert advisory board, and thematic committees on tourism/culture and development—to involve different stakeholders in ongoing decision-making. This structure allows local winegrowers, house representatives, planners, conservationists, and others to regularly consult on issues and propose measures, thus democratizing the management process. In heritage management literature, this kind of inclusive governance is seen as vital for living landscapes where multiple interests must coexist (Mitchell et al., 2009).

Monitoring and enforcement are also parts of stakeholder engagement. Since inscription, Champagne must periodically report on the state of conservation of the site. The CHHC formed a monitoring unit in 2019 to systematically assess the condition of the attributes that constitute OUV (vineyard health, architectural integrity, etc.). Local stakeholders contribute to this by reporting changes or threats. For instance, if a Champagne house plans a new construction or a vineyard terrace faces erosion issues, these are brought to the attention of the management unit and expert board, which can then advise on mitigating actions. Notably, the region has to reconcile development needs with conservation—e.g., wineries may need modern facilities, or villages may seek to expand. The management system addresses this through case-by-case review (often requiring heritage impact assessments for significant projects) and by promoting heritage-sensitive design guidelines. As per the ICOMOS report, one area of improvement identified was the need for stricter regulation on new constructions along the Avenue de Champagne to prevent discordant structures. The management has since worked with the city of Épernay on architectural guidelines for that historic avenue.

Community engagement extends to public awareness and education campaigns. The Champagne World Heritage mission organizes events such as the “Séjour des Réconciliations” (Reconciliation Stay) each year, a festival celebrating Champagne’s inscription and its message of unity and celebration. It brings residents, producers, and visitors together in the vineyards and cellars, fostering a collective sense of pride and responsibility toward the landscape. Furthermore, many Champagne houses have opened visitor centers or small museums (often in their historic cellars or villas) to educate tourists about the heritage. Over 450,000 people visit the Avenue de Champagne and related sites annually, which, while beneficial for awareness, also necessitates careful visitor management to avoid wear on the sites and to distribute tourism benefits across the region. The management plan’s tourism component encourages development of diverse routes and experiences (including lesser-known villages or vineyards) to prevent congestion and ensure that tourism supports local development in a sustainable manner.

In summary, the Champagne cultural landscape is managed through a collaborative framework that involves those who live and work in the region. This stakeholder-driven approach

has thus far been effective in handling the dual mandate of World Heritage sites: protecting heritage of “Outstanding Universal Value” and sustaining the socio-economic life of the community. It exemplifies how governance can be structured for a living, working landscape, aligning with the idea that heritage conservation is most successful when it is “everyone’s business”—shared by government, industry, and the public.

4.Threats and Resilience: Climate Change and Urbanization

Like many World Heritage cultural landscapes, Champagne faces a range of threats that could impact its integrity and value. Two of the most pressing issues are climate change and urban development pressures. The region’s ability to respond to these threats will test its resilience and the effectiveness of its management strategies.

4.1 Climate Change

The Champagne region lies at the cool climatic margin for viticulture, which historically contributed to the wine’s high acidity and slow maturation – key to Champagne’s style. In recent decades, however, the climate has been warming. The immediate effect has been earlier grape ripening and harvest dates; for example, harvests that traditionally occurred in late September are now often in early September or even late August in hot years. Warmer growing seasons have generally increased grape sugar levels (raising alcohol content in wine) and lowered acidity, potentially altering the delicate balance that Champagne is known for. As noted in the Polytechnique Insights interview with Champagne scientists, between 1980 and 2020 the average potential alcohol in Champagne at harvest rose from around 9.5% to over 10%, while acidity has dropped, necessitating careful adjustments in wine-making. While moderate warming so far has reduced issues like unripe fruit or certain diseases (and some producers say quality has been good in recent vintages), continued changes could undermine the typical Champagne profile.

The long-term threat is that climate change could make the Champagne terroir unsuitable for its traditional grape varieties and wine style. A warmer, drier climate might force a shift to different grape cultivars or growing practices that currently are outside the strict Champagne AOC rules. Such a shift, as Marsh et al. (2023) warn, could lead to a loss of the site’s OUV if the defining characteristics of the Champagne wine and landscape are fundamentally altered. The Champagne industry and regional authorities are proactively seeking to avoid such an outcome. Adaptation strategies, many spearheaded by the Comité Champagne’s environmental and technical departments, include extensive climate and phenology monitoring, and research programs like the creation of new hybrid grape varieties with higher heat and disease tolerance (while aiming to retain flavor proximity to traditional varieties). Another approach is improving vineyard resilience through ecological measures: reintroducing biodiversity into vineyards (planting cover crops, reducing pesticides), which can help soils retain moisture and reduce erosion, thereby mitigating some climate extremes. Indeed, the ICOMOS evaluation had pointed out issues of environmental degradation from intensive viticulture, such as water pollution and loss of biodiversity due to pesticides. In response, there are moves toward more organic and sustainable viticulture in Champagne – for example, as of 2021, a significant and growing share of vineyards are certified sustainable or organic, and synthetic herbicide use is being phased down. These measures tackle both climate adaptation and general environmental health, acknowledging that a more resilient ecosystem underpins a resilient wine industry.

Technological innovations also form part of the climate resilience toolkit. Some Champagne houses are experimenting with precision viticulture tools – like remote sensing and AI to predict grape maturity – and with cellar techniques such as chilled grape reception or adjusted yeasts to handle riper fruit. Additionally, a broader effort to reduce the industry’s carbon footprint (mitigation) is underway, including energy efficiency in production and lighter wine bottles, since the Champagne region aims to set an example in fighting climate change, not just adapting to it. The dual focus on mitigation and adaptation was highlighted by the Champagne sector as a “two-pronged response: ensuring it does not contribute to global warming, and modifying practices to adapt to new conditions”. While climate change is largely beyond local control, these collective actions reflect a resilience ethos – the idea that through innovation, research, and flexibility, the Champagne landscape can continue to thrive and produce its famed wine even as the climate shifts. The success of these efforts will need continual evaluation, and it is likely that the definition of authenticity may subtly evolve (for instance, if new grape varieties are eventually deemed part of Champagne’s tradition).

4.2 Urbanization and Development Pressure

Another threat comes from the pressure of urban and infrastructure development in and around the Champagne region. The core areas of the World Heritage site include parts of the city of Reims and the town of Épernay, as well as rural wine villages. By French law and the site’s protective zoning, the historic vineyards and defined buffer zones have some shield from conversion – for example, no urban development is allowed in the designated vineyard and forest zones of the historic hillsides, and new construction is generally limited to already built-up footprints. Despite this, there is indirect pressure. Reims and Épernay are economic centers that naturally seek to expand and modernize. In Reims, the Saint-Nicaise Hill area (part of the site) is surrounded by urban fabric and includes facilities of major Champagne firms; decisions by these companies to relocate certain industrial functions could free up historic properties for sale or repurposing, raising concerns about appropriate new uses. In Épernay, the Avenue de Champagne’s desirability means there is interest in new visitor facilities, hotels, or company buildings. ICOMOS observed that some new buildings, not entirely in keeping with the historic character, have appeared on the avenue’s periphery, and cautioned that without tighter regulation, such trends could introduce “dissonant elements” in the townscape. This highlights the need for strict design guidelines and perhaps development caps in sensitive areas.

In the vineyard villages, the threat is more about incremental changes – for instance, modern houses or agricultural structures that might clash with traditional Champagne architecture, or the widening of roads to accommodate vehicles which could alter the rural landscape charm. Local plans (Plans Locaux d’Urbanisme) now often integrate heritage considerations, and the management plan’s charter encourages preserving traditional building styles and scales. The region also faces infrastructure projects such as wind farms in the wider landscape. A proposal for wind turbine installations within view of the Champagne hillsides raised alarms, as multiple wind farms in the same sightline could impact the visual integrity of the cultural landscape. In response, heritage authorities insisted on heritage impact assessments, and so far turbines have been kept at a distance to minimize intrusiveness. Nonetheless, balancing renewable energy goals with landscape preservation remains an ongoing negotiation.

4.3 Resilience to Development

The resilience of the Champagne site in the face of development pressures largely depends on effective planning controls and community value for heritage. In Lavaux (Switzerland), strong popular support and legal protections have successfully halted major urbanization that would encroach on vineyards. Champagne similarly benefits from a local populace that values the vineyards – not only for their economic importance but also as part of their identity. In 2011, a regional park (Parc Naturel Régional de la Montagne de Reims) was established, which overlaps parts of the Champagne hillsides, aiming to coordinate conservation of landscape and nature. Additionally, being a UNESCO site has itself become a deterrent to inappropriate development: there is heightened scrutiny of projects, and stakeholders understand that maintaining the World Heritage status is beneficial for everyone. In practice, resilience means compromise solutions: e.g., allowing villages to grow but steering new housing to less sensitive zones, or upgrading wine production facilities in ways that are unobtrusive (such as building semi-underground extensions, using landscaping to screen metal structures, etc.). The management plan's development/enhancement committee provides a forum for discussing such solutions early in the project stage.

4.4 Other Threats

In addition to climate and urbanization, a few other notable threats are managed in the region. Soil erosion and landslides on the steep vineyards (particularly in areas of Hautvillers and Aÿ) have been a concern; measures like maintaining grass cover between vine rows have been implemented to stabilize soil and have proven effective. Periodic heavy rains can cause runoff, but the increased use of groundcover vegetation in recent years is a direct response to this, showing an interplay between addressing environmental issues and preserving the landscape. Another factor is tourism: with nearly half a million visitors on the Avenue de Champagne annually and many more across the region, managing tourist flows is crucial. Over-tourism could strain local infrastructure or dilute the quality of visitor experience. So far, Champagne has managed to spread tourism via multiple maisons and villages offering visits, and by promoting shoulder-season events, thus avoiding the kind of overcrowding seen in some other World Heritage sites. The Covid-19 pandemic temporarily reduced visitor numbers, but by 2023 visitation had strongly rebounded, reminding stakeholders of the importance of having tourism management plans in place.

In conclusion, the Champagne cultural landscape is confronted with significant contemporary challenges, but it has shown a proactive stance in dealing with them. Through research-driven adaptation strategies, strict but flexible planning, and community engagement, Champagne is striving to remain the land of Champagne wine for generations to come. Its resilience is not about resisting change entirely, but about guiding change in ways that respect and perpetuate the heritage values of the region.

5.Comparative Perspectives: Lavaux and Wieliczka

To place Champagne's situation in broader context, it is instructive to compare it with other World Heritage sites that share thematic or management challenges. Lavaux, Vineyard Terraces in Switzerland and the Wieliczka Salt Mine in Poland offer two different but relevant parallels – one

being another viticultural landscape, the other an underground industrial heritage site. Both have faced issues of authenticity, community engagement, and external threats, yielding lessons that resonate with Champagne's experience.

5.1 Lavaux, Vineyard Terraces (Switzerland)

Lavaux is a 800-hectare winegrowing region on the steep shores of Lake Geneva, inscribed on the World Heritage List in 2007 under criteria (iii), (iv), and (v). Like Champagne, Lavaux is celebrated as an outstanding example of human interaction with the environment over a long period (almost a millennium of terrace cultivation) to produce a renowned wine. Both landscapes highlight continuity in land use – vineyards have persisted through centuries, shaped by monastic influence in the medieval era and sustained by generations of local winegrowers. A key point of comparison is community involvement in protection. In Lavaux's case, its "vulnerability in the face of fast-growing urban settlements has prompted protection measures strongly supported by local communities". The local residents famously initiated referenda in the late 20th century to limit construction on the vineyards, leading to strict cantonal laws that preserve the terraces and prevent significant development. This "exceptional popular protection", as UNESCO called it, ensured Lavaux's integrity even before World Heritage listing. Champagne too has benefitted from a strong local and regional commitment to protect its vineyards from urban encroachment. While Champagne's legislative context differs (relying on zoning and the AOC delineation rather than direct democracy), the underlying principle is similar: broad public acknowledgment that the cultural landscape is invaluable and must be conserved. Both sites illustrate that when a landscape is integral to local identity – be it the centuries of terrace walls in Lavaux or the chalk vineyards and cellars in Champagne – public opinion can be a powerful force to counter shortsighted development.

In terms of management, Lavaux, like Champagne, uses a participatory approach. It has a management plan implemented by a coordination committee that includes local winegrowers, municipal authorities, and cantonal officials (OFEV, 2019). The sharing of best practices between Lavaux and Champagne has occurred through occasional site visits and conferences; for instance, representatives from Champagne have noted Lavaux's success in integrating tourism without spoiling the landscape (Swissinfo, 2018). Lavaux's challenges today (in addition to development) include maintaining the labor-intensive terraces (many walls need restoration, and younger generations must be encouraged to continue vine work) and adapting to climate change – notably, Lavaux has virtually eliminated synthetic pesticides since 2016 as part of sustainability efforts. Champagne can draw parallels here: both are working landscapes that require heritage-sensitive agricultural practices to remain viable and authentic. The notion of "productive conservation" – keeping the landscape productive while conserving its character – is at play in both UNESCO sites.

5.2 Wieliczka Salt Mine (Poland)

The Wieliczka Salt Mine provides a contrasting yet insightful comparison. Inscribed in 1978 (one of the first World Heritage sites) under criterion (iv), Wieliczka represents a large-scale industrial heritage site centered on natural resource extraction. The mine features an extensive underground labyrinth (over 300 km of galleries on multiple levels) with historic mining technology, chapels, statues and altars carved from salt, and an enduring record of an industry that

operated from the 13th century until the late 20th century. While at first glance a salt mine differs greatly from Champagne's vineyard landscape, both share themes of technological evolution, continuity, and repurposing for tourism. Just as Champagne shows the progression from artisanal wine-making to industrial-scale production, Wieliczka showcases the evolution of mining techniques from medieval hand tools to more modern methods, preserved in situ. ICOMOS described Wieliczka as an exemplar of "a large industrial establishment... whose existence was assured by processes of adaptation since the Middle Ages," perfectly illustrating the stages of mining technology development. This emphasis on adaptation over time is akin to Champagne's narrative of adapting wine production and business models over centuries.

A salient point of Wieliczka's story is how it dealt with threats and transitions. By the late 20th century, the salt mine was facing serious issues: flooding and water damage threatened the underground tunnels (leading to its listing as World Heritage in Danger from 1989 to 1998), and economically the mine was no longer viable to operate purely for salt. The Polish authorities and local stakeholders made a conscious decision to pivot towards heritage preservation and tourism. Major conservation work was undertaken to stabilize the chambers (including brine management to prevent flooding), and parts of the mine were converted into a regulated Tourist Route and an underground museum (Cracow Saltworks Museum). Today, Wieliczka is one of Poland's top tourist attractions, receiving around 1.9 million visitors in 2025—over 70% of them international travelers. This mirrors in some ways Champagne's exploitation of its heritage for tourism: both have turned what were industrial processes into heritage experiences (tasting tours in cellars, guided walks in mine galleries). The success brings its own management challenges: controlling visitor flow, ensuring safety in confined spaces, and preventing damage (for Wieliczka, managing humidity and CO₂ levels in the mine; for Champagne, avoiding wear on historic cellars and maintaining quality of visitor engagement).

Wieliczka also underscores the importance of diversifying the use of a heritage site. Parts of the salt mine are now used for health therapy (the microclimate is believed to benefit respiratory conditions) and for cultural events (concerts in the large chapel chamber). Champagne, while still very much a production landscape, has diversified by hosting cultural events in vineyards or Champagne house gardens, and repurposing some historical facilities as venues (for example, some old pressing centers now host exhibitions or art installations). Both sites illustrate how heritage can be a catalyst for new forms of economic activity beyond the original purpose – a concept often discussed in sustainable heritage management. Champagne's primary difference is that its original use (wine production) is ongoing and profitable, whereas Wieliczka's original use (salt mining) largely ceased. Nonetheless, Champagne can learn from Wieliczka in terms of long-term conservation: Wieliczka's decades of continuous monitoring and maintenance of extensive underground heritage demonstrate the need for perpetual investment. The Champagne cellars, too, require monitoring (some parts of cellars under Saint-Nicaise have been closed due to instability). Proactive measures, like structural scans of galleries and careful town planning to avoid vibrations or overload above cellars, are being employed in Champagne as well.

In conclusion, Lavaux and Wieliczka, each in their own domain, echo the themes of heritage, innovation, and resilience central to Champagne. Lavaux highlights community-driven landscape preservation and the balance of tradition and modernity in viticulture, while Wieliczka exemplifies the adaptation of an industrial heritage to new realities and the management of a massive influx of tourists. Champagne stands at a confluence of these lessons: it must continue

nurturing local stewardship (as in Lavaux) and adapt creatively to external changes (as Wieliczka did) to sustain its legacy. Comparative perspectives reinforce that World Heritage sites, though unique, often face common challenges – and that sharing knowledge across sites can be invaluable in crafting solutions.

6. Conclusion

The Champagne Hillsides, Houses, and Cellars cultural landscape epitomizes the intricate tapestry of heritage, innovation, and resilience. As a heritage site, it preserves the tangible legacy of Champagne wine production – from the chalky vineyards and picturesque villages to the elegant maisons and vast underground cellars that together tell the story of an extraordinary wine and the region that produces it. The site's inscription under UNESCO criteria (iii), (iv), and (vi) reaffirms that this landscape is not only of national or regional importance, but of outstanding universal significance: it is a living record of human ingenuity in agriculture and industry, and a symbol imbued with cultural meaning far beyond its boundaries. Our analysis has shown that the authenticity of Champagne's landscape and practices remains high, thanks in large part to conscious efforts by those who live and work there to honor tradition even as they pursue progress. The historical evolution of Champagne – overcoming environmental constraints, embracing external influences, and pioneering new techniques – lays the foundation for understanding its current trajectory.

Innovation has been and continues to be a defining feature of Champagne. The region demonstrates that innovation is not the enemy of heritage, but often its guarantor: by continually adapting (be it through improved wine-making methods in the past or climate-smart viticulture today), Champagne's stakeholders are effectively future-proofing their heritage. The modern challenges of climate change and urban development are formidable, yet the Champagne community's proactive stance – investing in research, tightening land-use policies, engaging in sustainable practices – illustrates a collective commitment to resilience. Climate projections may cast uncertainty on the long-term conditions for Champagne's grapes, but the ongoing dialog between scientists, growers, and authorities, as well as trial initiatives (from new grape varieties to green infrastructure), suggest that the region is actively seeking pathways to retain its OUV even under changing circumstances. Similarly, while urban and economic development will continue, the World Heritage status has provided a framework for negotiating growth with conservation, reminding all parties that short-term gains should not undermine an irreplaceable landscape that took centuries to build.

The role of stakeholder engagement emerges as a critical factor in Champagne's resilience. The governance model in place – collaborative, representative, and inclusive – can be seen as a best-practice example for other World Heritage cultural landscapes. It aligns incentives of the wine industry with conservation goals, turning potential conflicts into cooperative problem-solving. This model has enabled Champagne to handle issues like tourism management and site monitoring in a way that distributes responsibilities and benefits. It has also fortified a sense of local ownership of the World Heritage designation, which is crucial for the long-term stewardship of the site.

Comparative insights from Lavaux and Wieliczka enrich the conclusion that heritage sites must be dynamic to survive. In Lavaux, we saw that strong community will and legal frameworks

can fend off the pressures of urbanization – a lesson that Champagne is heeding through its regional planning and public outreach that builds pride in the vineyards. Wieliczka taught us about the possibility of re-purposing and reinventing heritage in the face of economic shifts, a scenario Champagne may or may not face, but nonetheless one that underscores the value of adaptability (for instance, how might Champagne respond if global wine markets or consumption patterns drastically change?). In all cases, a theme emerges: resilience in heritage is not merely about preserving a static past, but about managing change in a way that continuity and significance are maintained.

In closing, the Champagne cultural landscape stands as a testament to the synergy of human creativity and natural endowment – a place where soil, climate, and the toil of generations combined to produce a cultural product celebrated worldwide. Its ongoing story is one of balancing celebration and conservation, global fame and local integrity. By upholding its heritage values, fostering innovation in the face of new challenges, and engaging all stakeholders in its care, Champagne is charting a course aimed at ensuring that its hillsides, houses, and cellars continue to sparkle for years to come. The case of Champagne reinforces a broader understanding that World Heritage cultural landscapes are living entities; their preservation requires not only respect for the past but also thoughtful navigation of the future.

Acknowledgments

The author hereby expresses sincere gratitude to Dr. Dzianis Filipchyk, Professor at the Faculty of History, Belarusian State University, for his valuable suggestions on this research.

References

- [1] UNESCO World Heritage Centre. (2019). Operational Guidelines for the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention (as revised in 2019). Paris: UNESCO. <https://whc.unesco.org/en/guidelines/>
- [2] Taylor, K. (2009). Cultural landscapes and Asia: Reconciling international and Southeast Asian regional values. *Landscape Research*, 34(1), 7-31. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01426390802387513>
- [3] Guy, K. M. (2003). *When Champagne became French: Wine and the making of a national identity*. Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University Press. <https://jhupbooks.press.jhu.edu/title/when-champagne-became-french>
- [4] Kladstrup, D., & Kladstrup, P. (2005). *Champagne: How the world's most glamorous wine triumphed over war and hard times*. New York, NY: HarperCollins. <https://www.google.com.hk/books/edition/Champagne/1UUJEQAAQBAJ>
- [5] Jokilehto, J. (1999). *A history of architectural conservation*. Butterworth-Heinemann. https://books.google.co.uk/books/about/A_History_of_Architectural_Conservation.html?id=whK2u1IeHbEC
- [6] Myles, S., Boyko, A. R., Owens, C. L., Brown, P. J., Grassi, F., Aradhya, M. K.,...Buckler, E. S. (2011). Genetic structure and domestication history of the grape. *Proceedings of the*

National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 108(9), 3530-3535. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1009363108>

- [7] Unwin, T. (1996). *Wine and the vine: An historical geography of viticulture and the wine trade*. London: Routledge. <https://archive.org/details/winevinehistoric0000unwi>
- [8] Jones, G. V., & Alves, F. (2012). Impact of climate change on wine production: a global overview and regional assessment in the Douro Valley of Portugal. *International Journal of Global Warming*, 4(3-4), 383-406. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJGW.2012.049448>
- [9] Holling, C. S. (1973). Resilience and stability of ecological systems. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, 4, 1-23. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.es.04.110173.000245>
- [10] Mitchell, N., Rössler, M., & Tricaud, P.-M. (2009). *World Heritage cultural landscapes: A handbook for conservation and management*. Paris: UNESCO World Heritage Centre. https://whc.unesco.org/documents/publi_wh_papers_26_en.pdf
- [11] UNESCO World Heritage Centre. (2012). *Operational Guidelines for the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention (as revised in 2012)*. Paris: UNESCO. <https://whc.unesco.org/en/guidelines/>
- [12] Champagne Patrimoine Mondial. (2015). *Champagne Hillsides, Houses and Cellars: World Heritage nomination and management framework*. Reims: Association Paysages du Champagne. <https://www.champagne-patrimoinemondial.org/>
- [13] Deacon, Dondolo, Mrubata, Prosalendis and Human Sciences Research Council. *Social Cohesion Integration Research Programme (2004) The subtle power of intangible heritage: legal and financial instruments for safeguarding intangible heritage* /. HSRC Publishers. https://discovery.hw.ac.uk/permalink/f/3iffmq/44hwa_alma2128520610003206
- [14] Stovel, H., Stanley-Price, N., & Killick, R. (2005). *Conservation of Living Religious Heritage*. Paris: ICOMOS. https://www.iccrom.org/sites/default/files/publications/2019-11/iccrom_ics03_religiousheritage_en.pdf
- [15] ICOMOS. (2015). *Advisory Body Evaluation: Champagne Hillsides, Houses and Cellars (France) (World Heritage nomination No. 1465)*. Paris: UNESCO World Heritage Centre. <https://whc.unesco.org/archive/2015/whc15-39com-inf8B1-en.pdf>
- [16] Marsh, H., Smith, A., & Terrill, G. (2023). World Heritage and the challenge of climate change: a reform agenda. *International Journal of Heritage Studies*, 29(1-2), 39-48. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13527258.2023.2169334>
- [17] Office fédéral de l'environnement (OFEV). (2019). *Climate change in Switzerland: Indicators of impacts*. Bern: Federal Office for the Environment (FOEN). <https://www.bafu.admin.ch/bafu/en/home/topics/climate/state/indicators.html>
- [18] Swissinfo. (2018, February 12). *Frost and heat reduce Swiss wine harvest*. [swissinfo.ch.https://www.swissinfo.ch/eng/business/quality-but-not-quantity_frost-and-heat-reduce-swiss-wine-harvest/43893278](https://www.swissinfo.ch/eng/business/quality-but-not-quantity_frost-and-heat-reduce-swiss-wine-harvest/43893278)